

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Hutton, et al.  
Appl. No. : 10/007,644  
Filed : November 6, 2001  
For : DATA ACCURACY FILTER FOR  
INTEGRATED EMERGENCY  
MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION  
DATABASE SYSTEM  
Examiner : Le, Linh Giang  
Group Art Unit : 3626

CERTIFICATE OF EFS WEB  
TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence, and any other attachment noted on the automated Acknowledgement Receipt, is being transmitted from within the Pacific Time zone to the Commissioner for Patents via the EFS Web server on:

April 3, 2007  
(Date)

John M. Carson, Reg. No. 34,303

## SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

**Mail Stop Amendment**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action sent October 4, 2006, and further to the March 22, 2007 interview discussed in the Interview Summary provided by the Examiner, Applicant submits herewith a Summary of Interview

**Summary of Interview** begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 3 of this paper.

Appl. No. : 10/007,644  
Filed : November 6, 2001

### SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

On March 22, 2007, Applicant's representative, John M. Carson and inventor Kevin Hutton met with Examiner Le and Examiner Morgan to discuss the present application.

#### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None

#### Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 1-11, primarily Claims 1 and 4.

#### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

Prior art cited in pending Office Action, primarily U.S. Pat. No. 5,911,132 (Sloane)

#### Proposed Amendments

Applicant discussed a possible amendment to dependent Claim 4 to recite that the billing module is configured to generate a bill.

#### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicant argued that features in the claims, such as the feature of a demographic audit module configured to search other databases in an attempt to obtain missing demographic information, were not taught by Sloane.

#### Results of Interview

The Examiner agreed to withdraw the finality of the rejection and search for the claimed feature of a demographic audit module configured to search other databases in an attempt to obtain missing demographic information and the feature of a billing module receiving data from the demographic audit module, and agreed to prepare a new Action.

Appl. No. : 10/007,644  
Filed : November 6, 2001

#### REMARKS

Applicant understands that the Examiner intends to search for claimed features which have not yet been identified in the prior art. In a telephone call on April 3, 2007, Examiner Le confirmed that she will issue a new Office Action.

As each of the independent claims includes a limitation similar to the claimed feature for which the Examiner is searching, Applicant respectfully notes that the pending rejections are insufficient to demonstrate anticipation or obviousness of the pending claims. Applicant also notes that the various claims may provide additional patentable distinctions.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.


Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

4/3/07

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
John M. Carson  
Registration No. 34,303  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(619) 235-8550

AMEND

3603847  
040207